

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	_ F	TILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/711,288 09/08/2004		Ramon Diaz	28747.00004	5287	
35161	7590	11/29/2006		EXAMINER	
		GHT PLLC	SAADAT, CAMERON		
1901 L. STREET NW SUITE 800				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHING	TON, DC	20036	3714		
•			·	DATE MAILED: 11/29/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/711,288	DIAZ ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Cameron Saadat	3714	

·	Cameron Saadat	3714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 27 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or othis application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compfollowing time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	affidavit, or other evidence compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F	of the final rejection. IRST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimates a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further compared (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in below appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a 	nsideration and/or search (see NC w); tter form for appeal by materially r	OTE below); educing or simplifying	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s of the non-allowable claim(s).	l21. See attached Notice of Non-C):		, ,
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	-	vill be entered and an	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	-	• •	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessare.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperty and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ils to provide a (1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered buse See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	Mam	ATHLEEN MOSSE PIMARY EXAMINE	R R
		•	•

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant emphasizes that Kouba is tailored to needs of manufacturing plants and contract employees, whereas the instant invention is drawn to building-specific emergency response plans for occupants. Applicant further argues that occupants may include professionals with or without industrial safety backgrounds, families, tenants, or owners. However, it is noted by the examiner that the claim language does not specify a particular type of occupant.

The request to correct inventorship under 37 C.F.R. 1.48(a) has not been entered since it is not timely. Furthermore, an affadavit from Ramon Diaz must be submitted under 37 C.F.R. 1.132 showing belief and evidence that the subject matter of the parent application and the instant application are his invention.